

Hurricanes and Global Warming

Revised 4 April 2005

Accepted 8 June 2005

Pielke, Jr., R. A., C. Landsea, K. Emanuel, M. Mayfield, J. Laver and R. Pasch, in press. Hurricanes and global warming, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*.

© Copyright 2005 American Meteorological Society (AMS). Permission to use figures, tables, and brief excerpts from this work in scientific and educational works is hereby granted provided that the source is acknowledged. Any use of material in this work that is determined to be “fair use” under Section 107 of the U.S. Copyright Act or that satisfies the conditions specified in Section 108 of the U.S. Copyright Act (17 USC §108, as revised by P.L. 94-553) does not require the AMS’s permission. Republication, systematic reproduction, posting in electronic form on servers, or other uses of this material, except as exempted by the above statement, requires written permission or a license from the AMS. Additional details are provided in the AMS Copyright Policy, available on the AMS Web site located at (<http://www.ametsoc.org>) or from the AMS at 617-227-2425 or copyright@ametsoc.org.

Permission to place a copy of this work on this server has been provided by the AMS. The AMS does not guarantee that the copy provided here is an accurate copy of the published work.

Roger Pielke, Jr., Director
Center for Science and Technology Policy Research
1333 Grandview Ave. UCB 488
University of Colorado
Boulder, CO 80309-0488
pielke@colorado.edu

Christopher Landsea, Research Meteorologist
NOAA AOML/Hurricane Research Division
4301 Rickenbacker Causeway
Miami, Florida 33149
Chris.Landsea@noaa.gov

Kerry Emanuel, Professor
Department of Earth, Atmospheric, and Planetary Sciences
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Room 54-1620
77 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02139
emanuel@texmex.mit.edu

Max Mayfield, Director
Tropical Prediction Center
11691 S.W. 17th Street
Miami, Florida 33165-2149
Max.Mayfield@noaa.gov

Jim Laver, Director
Climate Prediction Center
NOAA/ National Weather Service
National Centers for Environmental Prediction
5200 Auth Road
Camp Springs, Maryland 20746
Jim.Laver@noaa.gov

Richard Pasch, Hurricane Specialist
Tropical Prediction Center
11691 S.W. 17th Street
Miami, Florida 33165-2149
richard.j.pasch@noaa.gov

Hurricanes and Global Warming

Debate over climate change frequently conflates issues of science and politics. Because of their significant and visceral impacts, discussion of extreme events is a frequent locus of such conflation. Linda Mearns, of the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR), aptly characterizes this context, “There’s a push on climatologists to say something about extremes, because they are so important. But that can be very dangerous if we really don’t know the answer” (Henson, 2005). In this essay we focus on a particular type of extreme event, the tropical cyclone in the context of global warming (tropical cyclones are better known in the United States as hurricanes, i.e., tropical cyclones that form in the waters of the Atlantic and Eastern Pacific oceans with maximum 1-min average surface winds that exceed 32 m/sec).

In our discussion we follow distinctions between event risk and outcome risk presented by Sarewitz et al. 2003. “Event risk” refers to the occurrence of a particular hazard and in the context of hurricanes we focus on trends and projections of storm frequencies and intensities. “Vulnerability” refers to “the inherent characteristics of a system that create the potential for harm” but which are independent from event risk. In the context of the economic impacts of tropical cyclones vulnerability has been characterized in terms of trends in population and wealth that set the stage for storms to cause damage. “Outcome risk” integrates considerations of vulnerability with event risk to characterize an event that causes losses. An example of outcome risk is the potential for a \$100 billion hurricane in the United States. To calculate such a probability requires

consideration of both vulnerability and event risk. This essay discusses hurricanes and global warming from both of these perspectives.

Event Risk

At the end of the 2004 Atlantic hurricane season, many scientists, reporters and policymakers looked for simple answers to explain the extent of the devastation, which totaled more than \$40 billion according to the National Hurricane Center. Some prominent scientists proposed that the intense 2004 hurricane season and its considerable impacts, particularly in Florida, could be linked to global warming resulting from the emissions of greenhouse gases into the atmosphere (e.g., Harvard Medical School 2004; NCAR 2004). But the current state of climate science does not support so close a linkage.

Tropical cyclones can be thought of to a first approximation as a natural heat engine or Carnot cycle (Emanuel 1987). From this perspective global warming can theoretically influence the maximum potential intensity of tropical cyclones through alterations of the surface energy flux and/or the upper-level cold exhaust (Emanuel 1987, Lighthill et al. 1994, Henderson-Sellers et al. 1998). But no theoretical basis yet exists for projecting changes in tropical cyclone frequency, though empirical studies do provide some guidance as to the necessary thermodynamical and dynamical ingredients for tropical cyclogenesis (Gray 1968, 1979).

Since 1995 there has been an increase in the frequency and in particular the intensity of hurricanes in the Atlantic.. But the changes of the past decade are not so large as to clearly indicate that anything is going on other than the multi-decadal variability that has been well documented since at least 1900 (Gray et al. 1997; Landsea et al. 1999; Goldenberg et al. 2001). Consequently, in the absence of large or unprecedented trends, any effect of greenhouse gases on the behavior of hurricanes is necessarily very difficult to detect in the context of this documented variability. Perspectives on hurricanes are no doubt shaped by recent history, with relatively few major hurricanes observed in the 1970s, 80s and early 90s, compared with considerable activity during the 1940s, 50s and early 60s. The period from 1944 to 1950 was particularly active for Florida. During that period eleven hurricanes hit the state, at least one per year, resulting in the equivalent of billions of dollars in damage in each of those years (Pielke and Landsea 1998).

Globally there has been no increase in tropical cyclone frequency over at least the past several decades (Lander and Guard 1998; Elsner and Kocher 2000). In addition to a lack of theory for future changes in storm frequencies, the few global modeling results are contradictory (Henderson-Sellers et al 1998; IPCC 2001). Because historical and observational data on hurricanes and tropical cyclones are relatively robust, it is clear that storm frequency has not tracked recent tropical climate trends. Research on possible future changes in hurricane frequency due to global warming is ambiguous, with most studies suggesting that future changes will be regionally-dependent, and showing a lack of consistency in projecting an increase or decrease in the total global number of storms

(Henderson-Sellers et al. 1998, Royer et al. 1998; Sugi et al. 2002). These studies give such contradictory results as to suggest that the state of understanding of tropical cyclogenesis provides too poor a foundation to base any projections about the future. While there is always some degree of uncertainty about the future and model-based results are often fickle, the state of current understanding is such that we should expect hurricanes frequencies in the future to have a great deal of year-to-year and decade-to-decade variation as has been observed over the past decades and longer.

The issue of trends in tropical cyclone intensity is more complicated, simply because there are many possible metrics of intensity (e.g., maximum potential intensity, average intensity, average storm lifetime, maximum storm lifetime, average wind speed, maximum sustained wind speed, maximum wind gust, Accumulated Cyclone Energy (ACE) and so on), and not all such metrics have been closely studied from the standpoint of historical trends, due to data limitations among other reasons. Statistical analysis of historical tropical cyclone intensity shows a robust relationship to the thermodynamic potential intensity (Emanuel, 2000), suggesting that increasing potential intensity should lead to an increase in the actual intensity of storms. The increasing potential intensity associated with global warming as predicted by global climate models (Emanuel, 1987) is consistent with the increase in modeled storm intensities in a warmer climate, as might be expected (Knutson and Tuleya 2004). But while observations of tropical and subtropical sea surface temperature have shown an overall increase of about 0.2° C over the past ~50 years, there is only weak evidence of a systematic increase in potential intensity (Bister and Emanuel, 2002; Free et al., 2004) . And the limited studies that have addressed

tropical cyclone intensity variations (Landsea et al. 1999; Chan and Liu 2004) show no significant secular trends during the decades of reliable records.

Because the global earth system is highly complicated, until a relationship between actual storm intensity and tropical climate change is clearly demonstrated, it would be premature to conclude that such a link exists or is significant (from the standpoints of either event or outcome risk) in the context of variability. Additionally, even if a relationship were to be found between trends in sea surface temperature and various measures of tropical cyclone intensity, this would not necessarily mean that the storms of 2004 or their associated damages could be attributed directly or indirectly to increasing greenhouse gas emissions.

Looking to the future, global modeling studies suggest the potential for relatively small changes in tropical cyclone intensities related to global warming. Early theoretical work suggested an increase of about 10% in wind speed for a 2° C increase in tropical sea surface temperature (Emanuel, 1987). A 2004 study from the Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory in Princeton, N.J., that utilized a mesoscale model downscaled from coupled global climate model runs indicated the possibility of a 5% increase in the wind speeds of hurricanes by 2080 (Knutson and Tuleya 2004; cf. IPCC 2001). Michaels et al. 2005 suggest that even this 5% increase may be overstated and that a more realistic projection is on the order of only half of that amount. Even if one accepts that the Knutson and Tuleya results are in the right ballpark, these would imply that changes to hurricane intensity on the order of 0.5-1.0 m/s may be occurring today. This value is

exceedingly small in the context of the more than doubling in numbers of major hurricanes between quiet and active decadal periods in the Atlantic (Goldenberg et al. 2001). Moreover, such a change in intensities would not be observable with today's combination of aircraft reconnaissance and satellite based intensity estimates, which only resolves wind speeds of individual tropical cyclones to – at best – 2.5 m/s increments.

Vulnerability and Outcome Risk

Understandings of trends and projections in tropical cyclone frequencies and intensities take on a different perspective when considered in the context of rapidly growing societal vulnerability to storm impacts (Pielke and Pielke 1997, Pulwarty and Riebsame 1997). There is overwhelming evidence that the most significant factor underlying trends and projections associated with hurricane impacts on society is societal vulnerability to those impacts, and not the trends or variation in the storms themselves (Pielke and Landsea 1998). Growing population and wealth in exposed coastal locations guarantee increased economic damage in coming years, regardless of the details of future patterns of intensity or frequency (Pielke et al. 2000). Tropical cyclones will also result in death and suffering, in less developed countries in particular, as seen in Haiti during Hurricane Jeanne (cf. Pielke et al. 2003).

Over the long term the effects of changes in society dwarf the effects of any projected changes in tropical cyclones according to research based on assumptions of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), the scientific organization convened

to report on the science of climate change. By 2050, for every additional dollar in damage that the IPCC expects to result from the effects of global warming on tropical cyclones, we should expect between \$22 and \$60 of increase in damage due to population growth and wealth (Pielke et al. 2000). The primary factors that govern the magnitude and patterns of future damages and casualties are how society develops and prepares for storms rather than any presently conceivable future changes in the frequency and intensity of the storms.

If the effects of human-caused climate change on hurricanes are expected to be small (or unknown) in the context of observed hurricane variability, and hurricane variability is itself a small factor in the growth of damages over the long term, then the significance of any connection of human-caused climate change to hurricane impacts necessarily has been and will continue to be exceedingly small.

Conclusions

To summarize, claims of linkages between global warming and hurricanes are misguided for three reasons. First, no connection has been established between greenhouse gas emissions and the observed behavior of hurricanes (IPCC 2001; Walsh 2004). Yet such a connection may be made in the future as metrics of tropical cyclone intensity and duration remain to be closely examined. Second, a scientific consensus exists that any future changes in hurricane intensities will likely be small in the context of observed variability (Knutson and Tuleya 2004, Henderson-Sellers et al 1998), while the

scientific problem of tropical cyclogenesis is so far from being solved that little can be said about possible changes in frequency. And third, under the assumptions of the IPCC, expected future damages to society of its projected changes in the behavior of hurricanes are dwarfed by the influence of its own projections of growing wealth and population (Pielke et al. 2000). While future research or experience may yet overturn these conclusions, the state of knowledge today is such that while there are good reasons to expect that any connection between global warming and hurricanes is not going to be significant from the perspective of event risk, but particularly so from the perspective of outcome risk as measured by economic impacts.

Yet, claims of such connections persist (cf. Epstein and McCarthy 2004; Eilperin 2005), particularly in support of a political agenda focused on greenhouse gas emissions reduction (e.g., Harvard Medical School 2004). But a great irony here is that invoking the modulation of future hurricanes to justify energy policies to mitigate climate change may prove counterproductive. Not only does this provide a great opening for criticism of the underlying scientific reasoning, it leads to advocacy of policies that simply will not be effective with respect to addressing future hurricane impacts. There are much, much better ways to deal with the threat of hurricanes than with energy policies (e.g., Pielke and Pielke 1997). There are also much, much better ways to justify climate mitigation policies than with hurricanes (e.g., Rayner 2004).

Roger Pielke, Jr. is a Professor of Environmental Studies, University of Colorado, Chris Landsea is a Research Meteorologist at the National Oceanic and Atmospheric

Administration (NOAA) Hurricane Research Division, Kerry Emanuel is a Professor in the Program in Atmospheres, Oceans, and Climate at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Max Mayfield is Director of NOAA's National Hurricane Center (NHC), Jim Laver is the Director of NOAA's Climate Prediction Center, and Richard Pasch is a Hurricane Specialist at NOAA NHC.

Bister, M. and K. A. Emanuel, 2002: Low frequency variability of tropical cyclone potential intensity, 1: Interannual to interdecadal variability. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **107** (4801), doi:10.1029/2001JD000776

Chan, J. C. L, and S. L. Liu. 2004: Global warming and western North Pacific typhoon activity from an observational perspective. *J. Climate*: 17. 4590–4602.

Eilperin, J. 2005. Hurricane scientist leaves U.N. team, *Washington Post*, p. a13, January 23.

Elsner, J. B., and B. Kocher, 2000: Global tropical cyclone activity: A link to the North Atlantic Oscillation. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 27:129-132.

Emanuel, K., 1987: The dependence of hurricane intensity on climate. *Nature*, **326**, 483-485.

_____, 2000: A statistical analysis of tropical cyclone intensity. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **128**, 1139-1152

Free, M., M. Bister and K. Emanuel, 2004: Potential intensity of tropical cyclones: Comparison of results from radiosonde and reanalysis data. *J. Climate*, **17**, 1722-1727

Epstien, P. and J. McCarthy, 2004. Assessing Climate Stability, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 85:1863-1870.

Goldenberg, S.B., C.W. Landsea, A.M. Mestas-Núñez, and W.M. Gray, 2001. The recent increase in Atlantic hurricane activity : Causes and implications Science. 293:474-479

Gray, W. M., 1968: Global view of the origin of tropical disturbances and storms. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **96**, 669–700.

Gray, W.M. (1979): “Hurricanes: Their formation, structure and likely role in the tropical circulation”, *Meteorology Over Tropical Oceans*. D. B. Shaw (Ed.), Roy. Meteor. Soc., James Glaisher House, Grenville Place, Bracknell, Berkshire, RG12 1BX, pp.155-218.

Gray, W.M., J.D. Sheaffer, and C.W. Landsea, 1997: Climate trends associated with multidecadal variability of Atlantic hurricane activity. "Hurricanes: Climate and Socioeconomic Impacts." H.F. Diaz and R.S. Pulwarty, Eds., Springer--Verlag, New

York, 15-53.

Harvard Medical School, 2004. Press release: Experts to warn global warming likely to continue spurring more outbreaks of intense hurricane, 21 October,

<http://www.med.harvard.edu/chge/hurricanespress.html> [A full transcript of the press conference can be found here:

<http://www.ucar.edu/news/record/transcripts/hurricanes102104.shtml>]

Henderson-Sellers, A., H. Zhang, G. Berz, K. Emanuel, W. Gray, C. Landsea, G.

Holland, J. Lighthill, S-L. Shieh, P. Webster, and K. McGuffie, 1998. Tropical cyclones and global climate change: a post-IPCC assessment. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 79:9-38.

Henson, B. 2005. Going to extremes, UCAR Quarterly, Winter, 2004-2005.

<http://www.ucar.edu/communications/quarterly/winter04/extremes.html>

IPCC, 2001. Climate Change 2001 - The Scientific Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. J. H. Houghton, Y. Ding, D. J. Griggs, M. Nogue, P. J. van der Linden, X. Dai, K. Maskell and C. A. Johnson (Eds.), Cambridge University Press, 881 pp.

Knutson T. R., and R. E. Tuleya, 2004: Impact of CO₂-Induced Warming on Simulated Hurricane Intensity and Precipitation: Sensitivity to the Choice of Climate Model and

Convective Parameterization. *Journal of Climate*, 17:3477-3495.

Lander, M. A., and C. P. Guard, 1998: A look a global tropical cyclone activity during 1995: Contrasting high Atlantic activity with low activity in other basins. *Monthly Weather Review*, 126:1163-1173

Landsea, C.W., Pielke, Jr., R.A., Mestas-Núñez, A.M., and Knaff, J.A., 1999: Atlantic basin hurricanes: Indices of climatic changes, *Climatic Change*, 42:89-129.

Lighthill, J., G. J. Holland, W. M. Gray, C. Landsea, K. Emanuel, G. Craig, J. Evans, Y. Kurihara, and C. P. Guard, 1994: Global climate change and tropical cyclones. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **75**, 2147–2157.

Michaels, P. J., P. C. Knappenberger, and C. W. Landsea, 2005: Comments on impacts of CO₂-induced warming on simulated hurricane intensity and precipitation: Sensitivity to the choice of climate model and convective scheme. *J. Climate*, (Accepted).

NCAR (National Center for Atmospheric Research), 2004. Hurricanes and climate change: Is there a connection?, NCAR Staff Notes Monthly, October, <http://www.ucar.edu/communications/staffnotes/0410/hurricane.html>

Pielke, R. A., Jr., Klein, R., and Sarewitz, D. 2000. Turning the big knob: Energy policy

as a means to reduce weather impacts. *Energy & Environment*, 11:255-276.

Pielke, Jr., R. A., and Landsea, C.W., 1998: Normalized U.S. hurricane damage, 1925-1995. *Weather and Forecasting*, 13:621-631.

Pielke, Jr., R. A., and R. A. Pielke, Sr., 1997: *Hurricanes: Their Nature and Impacts on Society*. John Wiley and Sons Press: London.

Pielke, R.A.Jr., J. Rubiera, C. Landsea, M.L. Fernandez, and R. Klein, 2003: Hurricane vulnerability in Latin America and the Caribbean : Normalized damage and loss potentials. *Natural Hazards Review*, 4:101-114

Pulwarty, R.S. and W.E. Riebsame (1997) *The Political Ecology of Vulnerability to Hurricane-Related Hazards*. In H.F. Diaz and R.S. Pulwarty (eds.) *Hurricanes: Climate and Socioeconomic Impacts*. Springer, New York.

Rayner, S. 2004. The international challenge of Climate Change: UK leadership in the G8 and EU, Memorandum to: The Environmental Audit Committee House of Commons, 24 November. Available at: <http://www.cspo.org/ourlibrary/documents/EACmemo.pdf>

Royer, J.-F., F. Chauvin, B. Timbal, P. Araspin, and D. Grimal, 1998: A GCM study of impact of greenhouse gas increase on the frequency of occurrence of tropical cyclones,

Climate Dynamics, 38:307-343

Sarewitz, D. R. A. Pielke, Jr, and M. Keykyah, 2003. Vulnerability and Risk: Some Thoughts From A Political and Policy Perspective, Risk Analysis, 23:805-810.

Sugi, M., A. Noda, and N. Sato, 2002: Influence of the global warming on tropical cyclone climatology: An experiment with the JMA global model. Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan, 80:249-272.

Walsh, K., 2004: Tropical cyclones and climate change: Unresolved issues. _Climate Research, 27:78-83.